

Guy Young, MD Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD



improving abilities to participate in physical activity for our patients with hemophilia.

So, I've really come very much to love this image (which came out of an article in 2020 that Mark Skinner authored), really looking at patients with hemophilia and how we can think about their care, both in the context of health equity and functional cure. So, as our ability to protect our patients with hemophilia from bleeding and thus protect their joints and muscles from sustained damage has improved, we've really been able to move from just looking at survival and prevention of premature death or improving joint health, to the point that patients are able to participate in of daily living and have an improvement in their quality of life to moving up the staircase, to the point where our patients with hemophilia can participate in their work, their career, their family life with minimal restriction. They can participate in various physical activities that, years ago, we probably never thought they could participate in and really optimize their health and well-being, as we get to the point where the medications that we have for our patients can reach the level of normalized or normal hemostasis. And so, I love the optimism in this image, and I love what it presents for our patients and the possibilities. So, with this in mind, let's talk a little bit about where we've been and what we know and where



One thing that we know is that there's a very poor correlation between arthropathy or joint arthropathy and bleeding rates. So, arthropathy is detected even in patients who have few or no bleeds. And you can see on the image on the right side of this screen, data from Marilyn Manco-Johnson's pivotal paper on the benefit of prophylaxis. And you can see on the horizontal part of the graph, the number of clinically evident index joint hemorrhages, and that it goes from 0 to 25. And then you can see on the vertical aspect of the graph the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) score. And although the majority of patients who had no clinically evident joint hemorrhages also had low MRI scores, you can see that there are patients with no clear joint hemorrhages who had higher MRI scores, indicating more damage. And you can see that there are patients with 15 to 20 joint hemorrhages who had very low MRI scores. And so, this poor correlation is something that we've always seen and is really important to demonstrate our lack of understanding-or lack of clear understanding-about what's going on in the joints of our patients. We know that there are patients with established joint damage and joint deterioration who have worsening of their disease even though there are no further joint bleeds that are occurring. And so, we know that there's something going on. There's something under-recognized, either bleeding episodes or micro-bleeds that are contributing to hemophilic arthropathy that we still need to understand more about.

7.	Joint Health Is a Contributing Factor to QOL	We also know that joint health is a significant contributing factor to quality of life.
	 QOL scores in people with hemophilia vary based on multiple different factors: Age, severity of hemophilia, history of long hospitalizations, 	Quality-of-life scores in people with hemophilia
	 QOL is significantly decreased by 22 target joints Higher frequency of joint pain History of joint surgery 	number of different components: their age, the severity of their disease, their history of
	decreased in people with hemophilia compared with controls (34.6 min/d vs 65.2 min/d)	hospitalizations, how often they're going to their doctors, and what their overall joint health is.
	Carrol L, et al. Patient Peeler Adheence. 2015;13:541:557. Patz P, et al. Haernophila. 2021;27:4206:4266.	But we also see significant changes, specifically decreases in quality of life, when patients have
		2 or more target joints, have a higher frequency of joint pain, or have a history of joint surgery.
		And what we know is that as you have this
		joint pain, you're going to have decreases in
		physical activity. And, specifically, when we
		look at moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, there are decreases in this activity in patients with hemophilia compared with controls. So,
		patients with hemophilia experience 34.6 minutes per day of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity compared with 65.2 minutes
		among control patients. So, again, impacting quality of life and what our patients are able to do.
		NOTE: Consequences of decreased activity = increased mean body fat, decreased lower extremity muscle mass.
		Decreased activity is postulated to be secondary to overcautiousness and pain.

8.	Known Variables That Influence Joint Damage	So, what variables influence joint damage? Well, some things we just can't do
	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	anything about. And that's genetic mutations. So, patients with more significant factor VIII or factor IX gene mutations: inversions, deletions, insertions, and nonsense mutations that result in an increase in severe phenotype. These are things that we haven't been able to control up to this point. We also know that there are genes that encode for other hemostatic components, such as iron regulator proteins and inflammatory and immune genes that are going to increase the number of hemarthrosis events that patients have, the number of affected joints that patients have, or the abnormalities in their range of motion and thus increase their risk of synovitis. So, overall, these nonmodifiable genetic changes can alter patients' phenotypes. Although we can't do anything about these
		genetic mutations, what can we think more about? NOTE: Although we cannot change the disease severity or genetics of a patient, we can intervene with prophylaxis in an effort to minimize bleeding and improve activity (and, thus, perhaps bone mineral density). \rightarrow
9.	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	Well, we can think a little bit more about the disease severity in our patients and what their factor troughs are and how we can optimize factor replacement to minimize joint bleeding. So, joint bleeding being the hallmark of severe hemophilia, we know that repeated joint bleeds lead to inflammation and arthropathy. And we know that patients with hemophilia are going to experience significant joint bleeds, about 30% of patients. We used to have this aim of a factor activity level of 1% to 3% as the trough to sort of be the base that we're looking for to help prevent joint damage. But we now know that

Guy Young, MD Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD



that's probably insufficient. And we know that these patients with moderate or mild hemophilia or those with a single factor VIII gene mutation, historically referred to as carriers, are going to have a higher rate of arthropathy compared with the general population.

So, what are some known variables that influence joint damage, and how can we prevent it? Prophylactic therapy has been demonstrated to help minimize joint hemorrhages in patients with hemophilia. And this improves if we start prophylaxis at a younger age and continue prophylaxis throughout the lifetime. So, the table on the right side of this slide depicts data from Marilyn Manco-Johnson's pivotal paper in 2007. The horizontal side of the table indicates how old each patient is in years. So, 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5. And then the vertical side looks at the average number of hemorrhages per month. You can see the joint hemorrhages in patients on prophylaxis in the dark red and other hemorrhages for patients on prophylaxis in the brighter red. And then in the blue, joint hemorrhages for patients on episodic therapy versus other hemorrhages for patients on episodic therapy in the tan. As we look horizontally throughout this table, you can see that the patients who were receiving prophylaxis are starting with fewer bleeds and are continuing to have fewer bleeds in the joint and other hemorrhages when compared with those patients receiving episodic therapy. And, specifically, the other hemorrhages for patients receiving episodic therapy and joint hemorrhages are really increasing throughout each age of the patient groups in this study. So, again, starting prophylaxis early and continuing it and having patients be adherent to it is going to be something that improves joint damage.



What about more general protection from bleeding, and how do we protect our patients from bleeding? How do we think about prophylaxis? So, factor activity. Again, historically, greater than 1% was the goal of prophylactic therapy. And that was what we were targeting. But the World Federation of Hemophilia has given this new definition of prophylaxis: "The regular administration of a hemostatic agent or agents with the goal of preventing bleeding in patients with hemophilia, while allowing them to lead active lives and achieve quality of life comparable to non-hemophilic individuals." So, this is impactful. This is telling us that we really want our patients with hemophilia to be living lives similar to those without hemophilia, and we can do that by giving them prophylaxis. But it's more difficult than one might have assumed. The image on the right side of this slide shows data from population pharmacokinetic studies that have indicated that even though we give patients our infusions and we expect bleedingboth spontaneous and traumatic bleeding-to occur after the infusions, bleeds are occurring in patients very close to their time of infusionwithin 10 hours of their infusion. And we can see that as patients are experiencing factor activities that are higher for longer, they're doing better, but we're still seeing bleeds in those earlier time points. And so, the exact factor level that patients are at or the exact time that they've been at higher levels, although helpful and a good goal, is something that we need to think more about.

NOTE: As seen in the figure, patients experienced spontaneous and traumatic joint bleeds at various factor activities, including spontaneous bleeding at more than 10%.

12.	Increased Protection From Bleeding Can Lead to Increased Physical Activity	So, we know that increased protection from either factor or nonfactor products and
	 Providers do make recommendations to increase factor dosing or time factor dosing with higher intensity activities 	increased protection from bleeding can lead to increases in physical activity Providers have
	 Case series of patients on Fc-fusion proteins allows for individualization of factor dosing to facilitate various sporting activity 	historically been making recommendations to
	 Patients in Explorer7 clinical trial demonstrated 30 minutes of additional moderate-to-vigorous physical activity per day (12 hours awake) on concizumab compared with pre-concizumab prophylaxis 	increase factor doses or time factor dosing to allow higher-intensity activities, such as giving
		factor doses immediately prior to sporting
	Hagrier C, et al. Haeropolita. 2013;11:9487-498. Wang M, et al. Blood Coogul Fichnolysis. 2016;27:377-344. Villannal Martinez L, et al. Blood. 2022;140(suppl 1):5634-6536.	events or dosing potentially on consecutive days
		because of the intensity of sporting events.
		There are case series that look at extended
		half-life products. Specifically, one looking at
		Fc-fusion proteins that allows for careful
		individualization of factor dosing, both amount
		and frequency, to facilitate various
		sporting activities that we maybe have not
		anowed patients with hemophilia to participate
		In in the past. And looking at the
		tracker both prior to the trial and during an
		observational period as well as during the trial
		for a number of weeks and patients
		demonstrated an increase
		in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity each
		day. Specifically. 30 minutes of increased
		activity if people were awake for, say, a 12-hour
		day when they were receiving concizumab
		prophylaxis compared with when they were
		receiving alternative prophylaxis in the pre-
		concizumab portion of the trial.
		NOTE: Optimal factor replacement for physical
		activity is not well defined.

Г



So, as we think about modern therapy for patients with hemophilia, we need to think about prophylaxis as being something that we need to individualize for each patient. Prophylactic therapy has to be readily adopted. It has to be easy for patients if that's what they need to be able to participate in the activities they want, and they need to be able to choose their prophylaxis based on the life experiences that they're having. So, as we think about first preventing bleeding, then we're going to protect from joint damage and life-threatening events. We're going to decrease the amount of missed school, missed work. We're going to allow for increased physical activity and quality-of-life improvements, and then we're going to move to more normal life expectancy as each of our prophylactic options is improving and individualized for patients. Increases in physical activity can include activities of daily living as well as sports or physical activity participation depending on the patient, their goals, and what other limits they have in their life. And we really need to optimize our understanding of each individual patient and those goals to optimize their prophylaxis. But it's not quite fully established yet. And this optimal prophylaxis is something that we're still working on to reach all of these goals.

[Guy Young, MD]

Well, thank you, Allison. That was an excellent introduction to our program. And I'm now going to jump in here and talk about subclinical bleeding. So, Mitigating Hemophilic Arthropathy: A Growing Recognition of the Presence of Subclinical Bleeds and a Need to Stop Them.

Guy Young, MD Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD

1:	 5. What Is the Goal of Hemophilia Treatment? • Is it to prevent bleeding? Studies of new drugs use bleeds/ABR as the primary endpoint • Is it to maintain healthy joints? Sure, this is perhaps more important goal, but Not all damaging bleeds are in the joints 	Now, what is the goal of hemophilia treatment? You might think, what a silly question to ask, but sometimes it helps me level-set thinking about my patients. Is it to prevent bleeding? All studies of new drugs use bleeds/annualized bleeding rate (ABR) as the primary endpoint. Or is it to maintain healthy joints? Now, of course this is an important goal, but not all damaging bleeds are in the joints.
10	Set What Is the Goal of Hemophilia Treatment? (cont) Description: Description: Output the intervention of the locit is a big part of this and is what studies in a locit and is what studies is a big part of this and is what studies in a locit and is what studies is a big part of this and is what studies in a locit and is what studies is a big part of this and is what studies in a locit and is what studies is a big part of this and is what studies in a locit and is what studies is a big part of this and is what studies in a locit and is what studies is a big part of this and is what studies is a locit and is a locit and is what studies is a locit and is what studies is a locit and is what studies is a locit and is a locit and is a locit and is locit and is a locit and is a locit and is a locit and is a l	So, my thoughts are that hemophilia treatment should be aimed at preventing the permanent sequelae of bleeding in any part of the body. So, of course, the goal of hemophilia is to prevent bleeding—I was being a little bit facetious. 'Thought provoking,' maybe, is the right word to make you think about what really is the goal. So, obviously, we want people with hemophilia to live a normal life, as normal as possible. And so, therefore, these are my personal opinions that hemophilia treatment should be aimed at preventing the permanent sequelae of bleeding in any part of the body. That could be preventing intracranial hemorrhage in babies who are more at risk of that. Preventing joint bleeds, preventing muscle bleeds, any type of bleeding. Now, importantly, although preventing overt bleeds is a big part of this, which is what the studies measure, right? Bleeds that you can see, overt bleeds. We must be aware that subclinical bleeding can also result in joint damage. And this is really what my session is going to be all about. I also want to say that we also need to be able to prevent overt and subclinical intracranial hemorrhage. How often do small brain bleeds occur in hemophilia? And are they damaging? And I think there's very little evidence to help us with that. So, I think any strategic goal in hemophilia needs to at least have that in the back of our mind as well.

17.	 So Why Is ABR the Outcome of Choice? It is relatively easy to measure It takes months to years to get meaningful results with subclinical bleeding as an outcome measure 	So, why is ABR the outcome of choice? Well, it's relatively easy to measure. And patients can basically subjectively tell you "I have a bleed" or "I don't have a bleed," and they can feel what the bleeds are. So, ABR has become the de facto outcome of choice. We all complain about it: "Oh, it's too subjective" and all this, but really, nobody's come up with a better one. Subclinical bleeding as an outcome is also important. However, subclinical bleeding as an outcome measure takes months to years to get meaningful results, and this is one of the reasons why it cannot be used in clinical trials where we want to have results in 6 months, a year, or 2 years. Longer-term observational studies are what it will take for us to really understand the subclinical bleeding effects of any sort of new treatment strategy.
18.	<section-header><section-header><image/><image/></section-header></section-header>	So, here's an acute hemarthrosis. I think it's pretty obvious to you looking at this about this type of bleed.
19.	<section-header><section-header><image/><image/><image/></section-header></section-header>	And that type of joint bleed, in that case, happened to be a target joint. These are all my patients and images or pictures that I took. If you have recurrent target joint bleeding, that can then lead to synovitis. As you see here, these are actually not acute bleeds in either one of these patients. Those patients walked into this workshop, but they have this spongy-feeling, swollen knee. And that's because they have synovitis. They could get an acute bleed on

Guy Young, MD Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD

		top of that, of course. And they do. But in this case, what you're looking at is synovitis.
20.	End-Stage Arthropathy	So, we go from joint bleeding, or current joint bleeding, to target joint, and then that can evolve into synovitis, which ultimately evolves into end-stage arthropathy—which I think is clearly visible here in this young man in his right knee where you cannot see any of the typical landmarks. You see the atrophy below the knee. And this guy was essentially wheelchair bound until he had his knee replacement.
21.	The Classical View of Joint Disease in Hemophilia	So, the classical view of joint disease in hemophilia is you have a joint bleed, which leads to inflammation. It makes the joint more prone to bleeding, more inflammation. And you enter this vicious circle, which ultimately results in the pictures you saw before. Target joint synovitis, end-stage joint damage. Just like that.
22.	Are All Bleeds Symptomatic?	Now, are all bleeds symptomatic? Well, let's do a thought experiment to try to answer that question.
	Let's do a thought experiment	

23.	<section-header><image/><image/><image/><image/><image/><image/><image/></section-header>	So, here's a cartoon essentially of a knee. And let's say this is our thought experiment. Let's say 1 red blood cell enters the joint. I know that might sound silly, but that's why it's called a thought experiment, because we're just thinking about it. Do you think a patient would feel 1 red blood cell in their joint? No, there's no chance. These are so small, there's no way they would feel 1. What about 3? Would they feel 3 red blood cells? And we can take it to the next degree. What about 1 μ L, 10 μ L? What about 1 mL? I mean, how much blood in the joint is required before a patient has a sensation? So, I think you'll agree with me that minuscule amounts of blood can enter the joint, which really is a joint bleed. But it would not be felt. Well, that's what I mean by subclinical bleeding.
24.	Are All Bleeds Symptomatic? (cont) What is the	So, what is the threshold amount of blood that results in a bleed being symptomatic? We don't really know the answer.
	threshold amount of blood that results in a bleed being symptomatic?	
25.	Symptomatic Bleed Threshold	And I'm sure that it is different between patients. Patients have different pain thresholds. Patients
	 Different between patients (different pain threshold) Different for each patient over time Changes as patient grows from a child to an adult Different for every joint bleed within each patient Other reasons 	have different sensitivity to whether they're bleeding in their joint or not. It could be different for each patient over time. You know, for a 4 year old versus a 12 year old versus a 40 year old. They might feel things differently and they may recognize bleeding earlier, or maybe after enough joint damage, they'll recognize bleeds later. It's really hard to know. It's different for every joint bleed within each patient. It could be that 1 joint bleed is going to not give you symptoms. And then it could be

26.	How Much Blood Is Needed to Result in a Bleed? • We don't know • This has not been, and with our current technology cannot be, studied	that maybe the same amount of blood enters the joint, and it does give you symptoms. And there may be other reasons. These are all areas that we're still learning about and hopefully will be able to learn more about over the years.So, how much blood is needed to result in a bleed? Well, we don't know. This has not been studied and, with our current technology, it cannot be studied.
27.	 Subclinical Bleeding There is no direct evidence for this, but that would be difficult to prove We do, however, have indirect evidence for the existence of subclinical bleeding 	Now, there's no direct evidence for subclinical bleeding, but that would be difficult to prove. But we do have a lot of indirect evidence for the existence of subclinical bleeding. And that's what I'm going to share with you next.
28.	<section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header>	Let's start with this very famous study. This is the Joint Outcome Study published in the <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> . It's hard to believe, 17 years ago now.

2	 9. Joint Outcome Study (cont) Patients on prophylaxis from ~1 year of age Joint bleeds collected over time MRI at start and conclusion of the study at ~6 years of age 	And we're going to take a look at one of the really famous figures from that study. So, the Joint Outcome Study was where patients were put on prophylaxis from about 1 year of age. They had joint bleeds collected over time, and they had an MRI at the start and the conclusion of the study at 1 year and 6 years of age.
3	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	So, this is this famous figure called the bubble plot, where you have the number of clinically evident index-joint hemorrhages on the x-axis. So, in other words, clinically evident bleeds— overt bleeds. And on the y-axis is the MRI score. The higher the score, the worse the bleed. So, of course, many of the patients are at 0-0. They didn't have any bleeds because they were on prophylaxis, and their MRI score is 0. The larger the bubble, the more patients are in that box. But I do want to call your attention to this [yellow- highlighted] box. Here we have patients who had 0 bleeds. Notice the x-axis, they had 0 clinically evident joint hemorrhages. And yet you've got at least 5 patients in this grouping who have MRI scores that are abnormal. In fact, some of them are very abnormal. Look at the one with an MRI score of 8. He's similar to patients who had 15 evident joint bleeds, 10 to 15. So, what's going on here? How can somebody have such a terrible MRI score and yet have never had a joint bleed? I know that Dr. Manco-Johnson, the author of this paper and the leader of this study, feels that this is a representation of indirect evidence of subclinical bleeding.

31.	Joint Outcome Study (cont)	So, there are other studies that took a look at this in a little bit of a different approach.
	Description Description	
32.	Joint Outcome Study (cont)Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2"Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan	And I know this plot looks exactly the same as the last one, but it's not. On the x-axis, you have the cumulative self-reported index joint bleeds, and the y-axis is the x-ray score. That's not MRI, that's an x-ray score. And again, you see things are clustering at 0-0. Or people who have very few bleeds have no abnormal x-rays. But there are some patients here who do have an abnormal x-ray. So, plain films can show joint damage in spite of 0 or very few bleeds.
33.	<figure><figure><figure></figure></figure></figure>	But in the same study, they also did MRI. Again, this looks just like the Joint Outcome Study plot, but this is a Canadian study. And again, you've got this MRI total score. It happens to be a little bit of a different scoring system, but that's really irrelevant to the discussion. Again, you see the same idea here. MRI scores that are terrible in some of these patients with either 0 or 1 bleed or maybe even 2 bleeds. But you see, most of this in the yellow box is 0 or 1 bleed.
34.	<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text>	There's more evidence. This is I would call somewhat more direct evidence. This is purposefully looking at long-term observation of early joint damage by MRI in what are called clinically asymptomatic joints in these patients. And this a study coming out of Germany.

Guy Young, MD Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD





40.	The Modern View of Joint Disease in Hemophilia (cont) Prophylaxis Usubclinical bleeding Zero clinically evident bleeds	But in addition to that, we have to add this part that despite prophylaxis, subclinical bleeding happens. And that subclinical bleeding could result in end-stage joint damage, and prophylaxis, even in patients with 0 clinically evident bleeds, can result in end-stage joint damage.
41.	 Data Summary Logically, subclinical bleeding must occur Data from long-term studies of patients on prophylaxis using bleed data and imaging strongly suggest that subclinical bleeding resulting in joint damage occurs All these studies were on patients using factor for prophylaxis <i>Why might this occur</i>? 	So, to summarize logically in my thought experiment I showed earlier, subclinical bleeding must occur. There's no way every patient feels every amount of blood in their joint. Data from long-term studies of patients on prophylaxis using bleed data and imaging strongly suggest that subclinical bleeding resulting in joint damage occurs, and all of these studies were in patients using factor for prophylaxis.
42.	<section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	So, why might this occur? Well, aiming for 0 bleeds has been a goal of hemophilia treatment. However, 0 clinical bleeds are not enough to prevent arthropathy, coming back to that ABR. ABR of 0, well, it's great. We definitely want an ABR of 0, but that is probably not enough to prevent arthropathy. We really need to think about eliminating subclinical bleeding as the goal of prophylaxis and taking data from mild hemophilia. We know that levels above 5%, and certainly above 15%, are likely to prevent subclinical bleeding. There are very few patients with mild hemophilia above 15% who end up with permanent joint damage. So, that probably suggests that there's a threshold somewhere in there where subclinical bleeding does not happen. Therefore, future therapy should be aimed at preventing both clinical and subclinical bleeding.



And so with that, I'm going to pass it on to Professor Roberta Gualtierotti. I introduced her earlier. And she's going to take the discussion to practical assessment of joint health and predicting/mitigating progression. So, Professor Gualtierotti, please take it away.

[Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD]

Thank you, Dr. Young.

So, we know that the etiopathogenesis of hemophilic arthropathy depends on recurrent joint bleeding and that even a single joint bleed may lead to irreversible joint damage. And with hemophilia experience spontaneous bleeding into muscles and joints, even despite adequate treatment. The repeated joint bleeding results in hemophilic arthropathy, which is characterized by chronic pain, reduced range of motion, decreased function, and eventually disability. There are different factors that may influence progression of chronic arthropathy: disease severity, mutation, the age at prophylaxis, initiation, and adherence, or persistence on prophylaxis. Over the last few improvement of treatment availability and efficacy and the comprehension of the underlying mechanisms leading to chronic hemophilic arthropathy have allowed us to understand the importance of prophylaxis on-demand regimen and the importance of early recognition of synovitis as a proxy of clinically overt or subclinical bleeding. NOTE: Over the last few years, the improvement of treatment availability and the

comprehension of the underlying mechanisms leading to hemophilic arthropathy have allowed us to understand the importance of 1) prophylaxis over an on-demand regimen and 2)



Guy Young, MD Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD



Guy Young, MD Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD

					 experiencing a painful joint, and an important differential diagnosis must be made against joint bleeding, against hemarthrosis. NOTE: Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) definitions of elementary lesions in ultrasound for rheumatology. Synovitis is the reaction of the synovial membrane to a noxious stimulus, in this case repeated bleeding and iron inside the joint. Inflammation leads to synovial hyperplasia with prominent vascularization
					We can go beyond the concept that there could be bloody effusion, but there should be no blood inside the joints.
					The European Alliance of Associations for
50.	Ultra	sound Features of Sy	novitis		Rheumatology (EULAR) and OMERACT,
50.	Ultra	Sound Features of Syn SH (grayscale)	novitis _{Doppler(PD)}	CombinedScore (grayscaleSH + PD)	Rheumatology (EULAR) and OMERACT, which are rheumatological scientific societies,
50.	Ultra Synovitis Grade 0 (normal)	Sound Features of Syn SH (grayscale) No SH independently of the presence of effusion	Doppler(PD) No Doppler signal	Combined Score (grayscale SH + PD) No SH and no PD signal	Rheumatology (EULAR) and OMERACT, which are rheumatological scientific societies, have defined different degrees of
50.	Ultra Synovitis Grade 0 (normal) Grade 1 (minimal)	Sound Features of Syn SH (grayscale) No SH independently of the presence of effusion Minimal hypoechoic SH ⁴ up to the level of the horizontal line connecting bone surfaces between the metacargained and the provimal phalama	Doppler (PD) No Doppler signal Up to 3 single Doppler spots or up to 1 confluent spot and 2 single spots or up to 2 confluent spots	Combined Score (grayscale SH + PD) No SH and no PD signal Grade 1 hyposchoir SH and signade 1 PD signal	Rheumatology (EULAR) and OMERACT, which are rheumatological scientific societies, have defined different degrees of synovitis based on the gray scale and the
50.	Synovitis Grade 0 (normal) Grade 1 (minimal) Grade 2 (moderate)	Sound Features of Syn BH (grayscale) No SH independently of the presence of effusion Minimal hyposchoic SH ⁴ up to the level of the horizontal line connecting bone surfaces pleate the metacarghaden SH ⁶ extending beyond joint indue but with me upper surface active (surface) downward) or hypertrophy axtending beyond the joint line but with the upper surface far.	Doppler (PD) No Doppler signal Up to 3 single Doppler spots or up to 1 confluent spot and 2 single spots or up to 2 confluent spots >Grade 1 but 55% Doppler signals in the total grayscale background	Combined Score (grayscale SH + PD) No SH and no PD signal Grade 1 lyposcholc SH and sgrade 2 PD signal or grade 1 SH and a grade 2 PD signal or	Rheumatology (EULAR) and OMERACT, which are rheumatological scientific societies, have defined different degrees of synovitis based on the gray scale and the presence of power Doppler signal. And in
50.	Synovitis Grade 0 (normal) Grade 1 (molerate) Grade 3 (severe)	Sound Features of Systems of Syst	Deppler(PD) Deppler(PD) No Deppler signal Up to 3 single Deppler spots or up to 1 confluent spots ar spots or up to 2 confluent spots -Grade 1 but 550% Deppler signals in the total grayscale background) -Grade 2 (>50% of the total grayscale background)	Combined Score (grays cale SH + PD) No SH and no PD signal Grade 1 hyposchol: SH and sgrade 1 PD signal Grade 2 Hyposchol: SH and sgrade 2 PD signal SH and a grade 2 PD signal Grade 3 Hyposchol: SH and sgrade 3 PD signal or grade 1 or 2 SH and a grade 2 PD signal	Rheumatology (EULAR) and OMERACT, which are rheumatological scientific societies, have defined different degrees of synovitis based on the gray scale and the presence of power Doppler signal. And in hematology, in the study of hemophilic

51.	Osteochondral Damage	With musculoskeletal ultrasound, we can also detect the presence of osteochondral damage.
	<image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/>	And on the left-hand side of the slide, you can see a healthy joint with the presence of an anechoic band of a uniform thickness over the subchondral bone, which appears hyperechoic and smooth and regular. On the right-hand side of the slide, you can see that this appearance is different. The hypoechoic thickness is lost, and there is some irregularity in the subchondral bone. So, even though ultrasound cannot investigate the deeper parts of the joint, the position of iron is ubiquitous. So, it induces modifications in easily accessible sites that can be studied and are a proxy of the general situation of damage inside the joint. NOTE: Iron deposits, which may be ubiquitous in the joint cavity, induce modifications since the first episode
		 Presence of an anechoic band of uniform thickness over the subchondral bone, which appears hyperechoic and smooth
52.	<text><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></text>	Also, in the case of osteochondral damage, a variable degree of loss of thickness of the cartilage and irregularity of the subchondral bone have been described in the currently used ultrasound scores for hemophilic arthropathy. The sites used to look for these alterations are the anterior aspect of the distal humeral epiphysis for the elbow, the femoral trochlea for the knee, and the anterior aspect of the talar dome in the ankle.



Guy Young, MD Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD

		capsule and do not move inside the joint with this stormy appearance. NOTE: On the left hand-side, the capsule distension is due to dislocable fluid and is a complex effusion, which is even more evident on the right-hand side in a transverse lateral scan as fluid containing fibrin and clots. Here you can see the distended knee capsule of a patient with hemophilia experiencing hemarthrosis, and you can see the corpusculated appearance of the effusion that corresponds to fibrin and erythrocytes that move inside the ioint compared with synovitis which appears as
		synovial hyperplasia and clots that adhere to the capsule and do not flow or move inside the joint.
56.	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	This table shows the currently proposed scores for musculoskeletal ultrasound evaluation of patients with hemophilia. And so, you can see that a standardization is still lacking. We really need to thoroughly study the different stages and clinical significance of synovitis and osteochondral damage to find a standardized and shared definition of these lesions. NOTE: We need standardization of the currently available joint scores based on ultrasound.
57.	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	This is why our group has recently proposed a call to action, a joint call to action to reach a consensus on standardized definitions of the lesions that are typically found in patients with hemophilic arthropathy.

58.	<section-header><section-header><image/><image/></section-header></section-header>	Finally, in the era of telemedicine, we can use home-based ultrasound imaging systems that can be performed by caregivers, by the general practitioner, or the patients themselves to identify joint bleeding early and to provide the correct treatment for joint health protection. We also need to study in the future the limitation of this type of imaging technique for the detection of subclinical joint bleeding, which is now very, very frequent in our patients.
		NOTE: In the era of telemedicine, we can use home-based ultrasound performed by patients, caregivers, or general practitioners for the early identification of joint bleeding and joint health protection.
59.	 Conclusions Long-term monitoring of joint health is crucial in an era of availability of novel drugs and improved survival and QOL of patients with hemophilia Musculoskeletal ultrasound offers a cost-effective, readily available, and non-invasive modality for assessment of arthropathy Standardization of the currently available biomarkers for joint damage and joint bleeding will further improve the management of patients with hemophilia in the near future 	So, in conclusion, the long-term monitoring of joint health is crucial in an era of availability of novel drugs, improved efficacy, and improved survival and quality of life of patients with hemophilia. Musculoskeletal ultrasound offers a cost-effective, readily available point-of-care, noninvasive modality for the assessment of arthropathy, both in the follow-up and as a point-of-care way of studying joint health. And finally, the standardization of the currently available biomarkers for joint damage and joint bleeding will further improve the management of patients with hemophilia in the near future.
60.	The Potential Role of Rebalancing Therapies in Mitigating Joint Damage and Improving Ability to Participate in Physical Activity Alison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Associate Professor of Pathology Microbiology and Immunology Associate Professor of Pediatrics Vanderbilt University Medical Center Nashville, TN	[Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI] In this section of the program, we're going to talk about the potential role of rebalancing therapies in mitigating joint damage and improving ability to participate in physical activity.

Guy Young, MD Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD

61.	Subclinical Bleeding and Rebalancing Agents	Let's think a little bit about subclinical bleeding and rebalancing agents. So, factor activities that
	<list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item>	are achieved by conventional prophylaxis are insufficient to address or prevent all joint bleeds, particularly subclinical bleeds, which we are continuously learning more about. So, we want our supporting treatments to achieve near-normal or normal (potentially) hemostasis. And we're still working on that when it comes to factor prophylaxis. As nonfactor therapies are being used to restore hemostasis in the absence of factor VIII or factor IX, we really want to understand and learn more about factor equivalents of each of these therapies, so we can understand how much or what level of therapy is needed. Recent modeling has shown that antithrombin levels of 10% to 35%, which is a
		target therapeutic range for fitusiran prophylaxis, correspond to 10% to 20% factor VIII activity. So, again, working toward near-normal, not-quite normal in terms of hemostasis.
62.	<section-header><section-header><section-header><figure><figure></figure></figure></section-header></section-header></section-header>	So, what do we know about the clinical trial programs that have been looking at rebalancing therapies? The fitusiran clinical trial program—or ATLAS program—data are shown here. You can see the ATLAS-inhibitor and ATLAS-A/B trials comparing patients on fitusiran prophylaxis with those receiving on-demand therapy and a significant decrease in the estimated mean ABR. The ATLAS-prophylaxis study compared patients receiving either bypassing or factor prophylaxis versus fitusiran prophylaxis and, again, demonstrated a significant reduction in the estimated mean ABR. The explorer trials looked at concizumab prophylaxis. explorer7 is looking at patients with hemophilia A or B with inhibitors

		Comparing patients without prophylaxis with those receiving concizumab prophylaxis, it is demonstrating a statistically significant decrease in the estimated mean ABR. The explorer8 trial is looking at patients with hemophilia A or hemophilia B receiving concizumab prophylaxis, demonstrating similar ABRs to what we've been seeing in the other clinical trial programs that have been described. Finally, the marstacimab clinical trial program, referred to as the BASIS trial, compared patients receiving on-demand therapy with those receiving marstacimab prophylaxis or those receiving factor prophylactic therapy, compared with those receiving marstacimab prophylaxis. It showed reductions in ABR in the marstacimab groups in both comparisons.
63.	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	When looking at estimated mean annualized joint bleeding rates, we have data from both the fitusiran and the concizumab clinical trial programs. And as you can see here, all 3 comparisons showed reductions in the joint ABR when patients were receiving fitusiran prophylaxis. And you can see in the explorer7 trial looking at patients with inhibitors, a reduction in the annualized joint bleeding rate in patients receiving concizumab, compared with those patients receiving no prophylaxis.
64.	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><figure><figure></figure></figure></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	What's another way that we can look at joint health and joint bleeding when looking at these clinical trial programs? Well, we can look at target joints, and we can look specifically at the number of spontaneous bleeds in patients who have target joints. Or, we can look at resolution of those target joints. And different clinical trial programs are looking at different endpoints. And so, we have to sort of have that shift when looking at these data. So, the ATLAS

Guy Young, MD Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD

		trials, again looking at fitusiran, compared spontaneous joint bleeds in patients with target joints. And as you can see, for the patients who had inhibitors, there was an 85% decrease in the spontaneous joint bleeds for those receiving fitusiran prophylaxis. And when looking at patients who don't have inhibitors, there was a 67% decrease in spontaneous joint bleeds for patients receiving fitusiran prophylaxis. In both cases, these are compared with patients who are receiving on-demand therapy.
		The concizumab trials look specifically at resolution of target joints at 56 weeks. And this is both patients in explorer7 and explorer8. So, patients with and without inhibitors. You can see an 86.3% resolution of target joints in patients without inhibitors and a 91.8% resolution of target joints in patients with inhibitors.
65.	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><figure></figure></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	Looking at the patients without inhibitors in the ATLAS-A/B trial, the data were looked at a little bit differently. This is looking at patients with 0 treated spontaneous bleeds, 0 treated joint bleeds, or fewer than 3 treated joint bleeds. As you can see, in each of these categories, there were more patients in the fitusiran prophylaxis group than those in the on-demand group who met these criteria of lower numbers of either spontaneous or joint bleeds. Finally, in the explorer7 clinical trial program, they looked at patients with 0 bleeding episodes in general, and you can see that there was a larger percentage of patients with 0 treated bleeds in the concizumab prophylaxis group than in the no prophylaxis group.



So, we see throughout the clinical trial programs a reduction in bleeding events, as well as a reduction in joint bleeding events across both clinical trial programs. But we can also look at changes in quality of life throughout the clinical trial programs. And so, this graph is looking at the Haemophilia Quality-of-Life Questionnaire for Adults (Haem-A-QoL) scores across the pivotal clinical trial programs. The Haem-A-QoL is a quality-of-life questionnaire that's hemophilia specific and looking at various aspects of quality of life. And we see here in the blue bars the physical health score for the Haem-A-QoL questionnaire and in the red bars the total score. We're demonstrating negative changes in these scores, indicating improvements in quality of life throughout all 4 clinical trials that have reported these data to us looking at patients receiving both fitusiran and concizumab throughout the clinical trial programs. And indicating those improvements in their quality of life, not necessarily 100% saying to us "This is because of the decrease in joint bleeding," but the implication being decrease in bleeding, decrease in joint bleeding, and decrease in spontaneous bleeding also being associated with that improvement of quality of life.

So, what else do we have to think about with these drugs? We have to think about the risk factors associated with them, and how we need to consider those risk factors in our patient populations. So, both of the fitusiran and concizumab clinical trial programs have experienced thromboembolic events throughout the programs. In the fitusiran clinical trial program, there were 4 treatment-related adverse events of special interest. Specifically, suspected or confirmed venous thromboembolic

Guy Young, MD Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD

		events in 2 patients in the ATLAS-inhibitor trial, none in the ATLAS-A/B trial, but 2 suspected or confirmed thromboembolic events in 2 patients in the ATLAS-prophylaxis trial. And in the explorer clinical trial program, we saw 1 thromboembolic event in the explorer7 program and 2 thromboembolic patients with thromboembolic events in the explorer8 program. Now, you'll note that there's no marstacimab in this table. And that's because there have not been any thromboembolic events that have been reported in the marstacimab clinical trial program. These thromboembolic events led to assessments of why this happened and risk mitigation strategies for both of these clinical trial programs (and those risk mitigation strategies have been discussed in other portions of this program).
68.	<text><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><figure><figure></figure></figure></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></text>	So, what about the factor equivalence of rebalancing agents, and how we can think about these drugs? We see the improvements in ABR, in joint annualized bleeding rates. But why do we think we see these improvements? So, we don't have clear measurements of hemostasis that are standardized and available for testing in various laboratories throughout the country. But what we do have are research-based assays, specifically the thrombin generation assay that allows us to have some sense of the hemostatic potential of each of these agents. What's been demonstrated using thrombin generation studies is that for fitusiran, about 20% antithrombin activity corresponds to about 30% factor VIII equivalence. And anti-tissue factor pathway inhibitor, when in therapeutic dosing, is likely equivalent to about 20% of factor VIII equivalence. You can see examples of the thrombin generation assays on the right side of this graph, where spiked samples were used and

thrombin generation studies were conducted to try to determine these factor VIII equivalents. So, in theory, these thrombin generation studies should demonstrate the hemostatic equivalence of each of these agents, and we should be able to determine from that what the risk or the potential benefit is in the context of spontaneous bleeding, traumatic bleeding, and potentially subclinical bleeding. But hopefully what's come through in this discussion is that we're still learning a lot about that, and we still don't completely understand why all bleeds happen—why bleeds happen necessarily when they happen and how we can prevent them. So, although these data are really helpful and can help us guide considerations in terms of treatment, we do need to understand that those limits still exist. [Guy Young, MD] 69. All right. Well, now it's time to discuss our clinical case vignette after you've already heard **Clinical Case Vignette:** Integrating Joint Assessment from all of us about the importance of and Other Factors to Develop maintaining medications to protect patients **Management Plans for Patients** With Hemophilia Who Desire from bleeding. But we also want patients to be **Increased Levels of Physical** able to achieve normal types of activities. So, be Activity able to have increased levels of physical activity because we know it's healthy in general, but also particularly healthy for patients with hemophilia. So, the patient I have here is a 24-year-old **Patient Case:** 70. 24-Year-Old Patient With Severe Hemophilia B patient with severe hemophilia B. He happens to have inhibitors. He has had numerous joint - The patient is a 24-year-old with severe hemophilia B and inhibitors . He has had numerous joint bleeds in his life and has a bleeds over his life, particularly a target joint of target joint of his left elbow and left shoulder . He is generally fit but wants to increase his gym activities to his left elbow and left shoulder. He's quite fit. incorporate more strength and mobility training for his arms and shoulder He wants to increase his gym activities. He · He also wants to play tennis (which he gave up when he was young) wants to increase his strength and mobility · He has mostly been using on-demand rFVIIa, but occasionally would use rFVIIa for prophylaxis because he feels that although he's aerobically -The prophylactic rFVIIa was largely ineffective fit, he doesn't have enough strength. So, he

		wants to do some strength and mobility training because he plays tennis. He used to play when he was a kid. He then started to have a lot of issues with bleeding. He started to have these target joints. He kind of gave it up. But he's really sad that he gave it up because he really would like to get back to it. Throughout his life, he's mostly used on-demand factor VIIa. He's occasionally used prophylactic factor VIIa, not that it's approved for this indication. But we tried that, and it was largely ineffective because he would still have bleeds despite that.
71.	<text><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></text>	Then he had an opportunity to participate in the fitusiran trial, the ATLAS-inhibitor study, which you've heard about before. And he decided that he wanted to take part, hoping that with these fewer bleeds and improved prophylaxis, he could increase his gym activities and really get back to playing tennis. His initial randomization was with an ondemand arm, so you have to stay on that for 6 months. And then he moved to the fitusiran arm, where he was getting 80 mg subcutaneously once a month. I do need to point out here that there's a new dosing strategy, which is lower doses to have fewer side effects, as you've heard already in the earlier presentation. So, currently he's on 50 mg every other month.
72.	 He remained on fitusiran at 80 mg monthly for about 1 year and then was switched to the new dosing regimen of 50 mg every other month. He did well without any bleeds during the 80-mg/mo time and continued to increase his gym with and tennis After switching to 50 mg every other month, he did have 2 bleeds over the first 6 months with activity-1 in the left shoulder and 1 in the left elbow However, in the subsequent year, he has had no further bleeds 	He remained on fitusiran, around 80 mg monthly, for about a year. He then switched to the new dosing regimen that was required by the protocol. Now on 80 mg per month, he did great. He was able to increase his gym work and his tennis activities. And he really wasn't having bleeds. When he switched to 50 mg every other month, he did have 2 bleeds in the first 6 months. One is target left shoulder, and one is target left elbow. But



their strength and can end up meeting their goals without hurting themselves by following a more generalized strength training or generalized aerobic program. And I think that as somebody has improvement in their prophylaxis, as your patient did, and really thinks about how to improve their strength considering their target joints, we can make some really nice strides. And I've seen that happen in a number of my patients.

[Guy Young, MD]

Thanks for that, Allison. Professor Gualtierotti, you're very much an expert in joint health, which goes even beyond hemophilia. How do you think about various types of activities that people might do, as it relates to their hemophilia? From your point of view, what are the important things to allow people to participate in whatever activity or sport they want and, at the same time, obviously protect them from bleeding?

[Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD]

Thank you for the question. I think that patients with hemophilia are now allowed to reach a higher protection compared with the latest decades because we see the very important evolution in treatment efficacy and availability. So, even the recent evidence shows that probably 3% to 5% trough levels are not enough to prevent spontaneous bleeding. And for posttraumatic bleeding, we have data that show that 15% factor VIII trough levels might not be enough. I think we really need to start personalizing the treatment of patients and reach for as normal as possible activities. So, not only physical activity, but also sports activity, because we now can achieve this goal. And I think that in the future, a telemedicine program

with ultrasound imaging might allow patients to recognize bleeding, joint bleeding early, and treat themselves as soon as possible in the case this bleeding is confirmed.

[Guy Young, MD]

Thank you for that. Dr. Wheeler, when it comes to factor VIII or factor IX levels, what do you think the trough should be? If you have somebody who's active in, let's say, a noncontact sport like tennis and somebody with a history of joint bleeds, is there some target that you're aiming for that you think would be best for them?

[Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI]

A little bit of a loaded question because I think there's a lot that we don't know. I'd love for my patients to be close to or in the normal range when they're participating in sports. But I do find that a lot of patients do very well on nonfactor therapy or factor therapy. That has me knowing that they're probably closer to, 15%, 20%, 25%. So, I generally try to maximize the potential for the therapy that the patient's on and then really respond carefully to what their body is doing. If somebody is having bleeds with a specific prophylactic program, making sure that we think about increasing or that we adjust their dose or the timing of their dose to really maximize that clinical benefit for the individual patient. But if you're really going to make me put a number on it, I would probably say at least 20%.

[Guy Young, MD]

Okay, thanks. Thanks for that. I think one of the other things to consider is that with a lot of the rebalancing agents, we don't really have a laboratory parameter that we can easily

Although measure. we will see thrombin generation data provided to us from the trials, it's going to be much more challenging to think about a level, for example, that makes it safe for patients to participate in sports if they choose to be on a rebalancing agent. So, I think that one of the challenges for us going forward in the future—from the companies and academically speaking as well-is to figure out how do we know where a patient is in terms of their hemostatic profile when they're using a drug that doesn't really have something to measure that equates to the hemostatic efficacy? For fitusiran, the level of antithrombin might equate to that. For concizumab, it'll be a little bit more challenging. For marstacimab, it'll be a little bit more challenging. I think we're going to be facing some of those challenges and questions going forward. I'll just open it up to the panel for any last comments about the discussion surrounding activity and hemophilia. [Allison P. Wheeler, MD, MSCI] Well, I think your patient that you described really demonstrated the potential for some of these therapies, especially for our patients with

inhibitors. You're right that it's going to be hard to know exactly where each individual patient is, but it's also going to be really exciting for this improvement in quality of life and activities and hopefully longevity for our patients.

[Guy Young, MD]

I will ask the final question to Professor Gualtierotti, which is: you talked about ultrasound and home ultrasound, and I know you've done some really excellent work in this area. Do you see the potential for at least, let's say, active patients—maybe not every patient having the ability to have a small handheld

ultrasound in their home so that when they finish activities or before they go to activities, they can evaluate themselves essentially with those tools to help determine should they go and play a sport, or do they have a bleed after their sport? What are your thoughts on that going forward?

[Roberta Gualtierotti, MD, PhD]

Thank you. I think now we have the technology available to think of that as a very near future option. And not just ultrasound, but also combining the data from the patients. So, patient-reported outcomes, patient-reported pain, quality of life. They might be used to put together a profile that is a risk profile or a lower risk profile for patients before starting a sports activity or a physical activity. So, I think in the near future, we will be able to use all these data coming from the patient and from the remote ultrasound imaging to personalize the treatment and also personalize the target of the treatment for each patient.

[Guy Young, MD]

All right. Thank you very much. Well, I think that this case really brings home everything that we've learned earlier, which is that it does come down to the patients. And every patient is an individual, as you heard, and will have lots of different treatment options that we can use to individualize our care for patients, including those who want to be physically active and have had some joint issues. So, I think it's a bright future for us, both diagnostically, as you've heard, and ultrasound treatment wise. I think one area we will need to figure out a little bit better is the laboratory testing.

